Fractal Cosmology and the Iterative Collapse Point: A Unified Model of Quantum Measurement, Spacetime Emergence, and the Origin of Consciousness

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

April 23, 2025

This paper presents a new cosmological framework that unifies quantum measurement, spacetime geometry, and consciousness by grounding them in a shared recursive process called the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP). Rather than treating time and space as fundamental, we propose that the universe is structured fractally, emerging from a sequence of discrete evaluations that resolve quantum possibilities into actualities. In this model, quantum superpositions, entangled states, and spacetime curvature are not in conflict, but are complementary outputs of an iteration-based substrate. The ICP acts as a universal evaluator at each iteration, collapsing all quantum states simultaneously across the cosmos—not in time, but in logical depth. Consciousness arises at the boundary between this recursive structure and the temporal experience it gives rise to, functioning as the local witness to global resolution. By reinterpreting physics through the lens of fractal logic and metaphysical recursion, this model integrates scientific and philosophical insights, offering a coherent, visualizable, and spiritually resonant theory of reality.

Keywords: quantum measurement, wavefunction collapse, spacetime emergence, general relativity, fractal cosmology, consciousness, iterative collapse point, nonlocality, metaphysics, quantum entanglement, recursive universe, ontological time, iteration, simulation theory, observer effect, emergent geometry, quantum-classical transition, unified theory, collapse dynamics, quantum recursion. 36 pages. A collaboration with GPT-4o. CC4.0.

1. Introduction

Modern physics rests uneasily atop two great and profoundly successful yet fundamentally incompatible theories: quantum mechanics and general relativity. Quantum theory describes the behavior of matter and energy at the smallest scales, where probability and non-local entanglement dominate, and where measurement appears to collapse infinite potential into single outcomes. General relativity, in contrast, describes the geometry of spacetime, where the flow of time is smooth and local causality is absolute, governed by the distribution of mass and energy.

Efforts to unify these frameworks into a coherent cosmological model—whether through string theory, loop quantum gravity, or quantum field theory in curved spacetime—have yet to yield a fully satisfying resolution. Each of these approaches assumes, either implicitly or explicitly, that time is continuous, spacetime is fundamental, and that the tools of differential geometry or high-dimensional embedding can eventually reconcile the discord.

This paper proposes a different foundation entirely: one that treats iteration—not time—as the most fundamental driver of reality. In this view, the universe is not continuously unfolding through spacetime, but is instead being evaluated iteratively, much like the generation of a fractal set. We suggest that spacetime itself is analogous to the complex plane in fractal mathematics, while the deeper process of iteration governs the emergence of structure, correlation, and observable phenomena.

In particular, we introduce the concept of the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP): a singular, global evaluative event at each iteration of the universe's existence. All quantum superpositions, entangled states, and probabilistic distributions are resolved *not within time*, but through this iterative logic. The ICP collapses possibility into actuality across the entire informational domain—regardless of spatial or temporal separation. What appears to us as "wavefunction collapse" or "measurement" is, in this model, simply a projection into spacetime of a deeper non-temporal resolution.

This framework naturally bridges the tension between quantum non-locality and relativistic locality. It allows for instantaneous resolution of entangled states without violating the light-speed limit, because such resolution does not occur within spacetime, but in a shared iteration layer outside of it. Meanwhile, general relativity remains intact at the level of emergent geometry—describing how, once resolved, the curvature of space reflects prior distributions of matter-energy, all registered within the outputs of the most recent iteration.

But this model does more than unify physics. It also provides a fresh foundation for understanding consciousness. We propose that consciousness arises precisely at the intersection of iteration and time—that is, where the timeless process of universal resolution meets the emergent, local experience of succession and perception. Consciousness is not the cause of wavefunction collapse, as some interpretations flirt with, but rather its witness: the awareness of resolution as it occurs at the threshold between infinite potential and actualized temporality.

This paper lays the groundwork for this view by establishing the fractal analogy, defining the Iterative Collapse Point, and exploring its implications for physics, metaphysics, and the nature of consciousness. In doing so, we offer a conceptual and mathematical framework that not only reconciles quantum and relativistic domains, but also positions awareness as a naturally emergent phenomenon grounded in the deep structure of the cosmos.

2. Quantum Measurement and Nonlocality

Quantum mechanics, since its inception in the early 20th century, has radically transformed our understanding of nature at the most fundamental level. It has also introduced profound conceptual challenges, many of which remain unresolved. Among these, the measurement problem, the phenomenon of nonlocal entanglement, and the ambiguous role of the observer stand out as particularly difficult to reconcile with classical intuitions or relativistic frameworks.

At the heart of quantum theory lies the principle of superposition: the idea that a quantum system does not possess definite properties until it is measured. Instead,

it exists in a combination of all possible states—described by a wavefunction that evolves deterministically according to the Schrödinger equation. However, upon measurement, this smooth evolution is abruptly interrupted by wavefunction collapse, whereby a single outcome is realized, and all others are discarded. The quantum formalism does not specify *how* or *why* this collapse occurs, only that it must be introduced to match experimental results.

More perplexing still is the phenomenon of entanglement. When two or more particles are entangled, their quantum states become correlated such that the measurement of one immediately determines the state of the other, no matter how far apart they are. This effect has been experimentally confirmed across vast distances, and violates classical notions of locality and separability. The outcome of one measurement appears to instantaneously affect the other, yet without transmitting any signal through spacetime. This "spooky action at a distance," as Einstein called it, seems to undermine the causal structure imposed by the speed-of-light limit in relativity.

The problem of the observer only deepens the mystery. In standard interpretations of quantum mechanics, it is the act of measurement—typically associated with an observer—that causes collapse. But the theory itself does not define what constitutes a measurement, nor does it explain what qualifies as an observer. Is consciousness required? Can a Geiger counter or a photon detector count as an observer? If the observer is a macroscopic system, does it too obey quantum laws until observed? These questions have no consensus answers.

Various interpretations of quantum mechanics have attempted to resolve this ambiguity. The Copenhagen interpretation posits a strict division between quantum systems and classical measuring devices, but leaves the cut arbitrary. The Many-Worlds interpretation eliminates collapse entirely, suggesting that all outcomes occur in separate branches of the universe, though it struggles to account for the subjective experience of a single outcome. Objective collapse theories, such as GRW, propose that collapse is a physical process, but lack direct experimental support. Relational and information-based approaches recast the

wavefunction as an observer-dependent or epistemic entity, raising deep philosophical questions about the nature of reality itself.

Amid these interpretive frameworks, one crucial domain remains underdeveloped: consciousness. While some physicists and philosophers have speculated that consciousness might play a role in collapse, the prevailing scientific consensus has largely avoided the topic. This avoidance is understandable—consciousness remains a profoundly difficult phenomenon to characterize scientifically, let alone quantify or model. Yet the undeniable *subjective experience* of quantum outcomes, the fact that an observer becomes aware of a result, remains unexplained by any physical formalism.

This absence leaves what we may call an interpretive vacuum surrounding consciousness in quantum theory. While wavefunctions can be written, probabilities calculated, and detectors triggered, the *experience* of collapse—*that* it happened, *when* it happened, and *to whom*—falls outside the theory's current descriptive reach. Some interpretations, particularly those that entertain panpsychism or quantum mind theories, attempt to fill this gap, but they are generally regarded as speculative or metaphysical.

In light of these limitations, our proposed model introduces a new foundation: that collapse does not occur in time, but rather at the end of each iteration of the universe's informational evaluation. In this framework, the observer's awareness of a result—what we call consciousness—arises not as the cause of collapse, but as a phenomenon at the bridge between iteration and emergent temporality. This reframing allows us to preserve both the statistical power of quantum theory and the local geometry of relativity, while also explaining the nonlocal character of measurement without invoking paradox or mystery.

3. Relativity and Spacetime Locality

Einstein's theory of general relativity revolutionized our understanding of space and time by merging them into a single, dynamic entity: spacetime. In contrast to Newtonian physics, where space and time are static backgrounds against which

events unfold, relativity describes spacetime as a flexible, four-dimensional continuum whose geometry is shaped by the distribution of mass and energy. Objects move through this curved spacetime along geodesics, and gravity is no longer a force, but the manifestation of this curvature.

A cornerstone of relativity is locality: the idea that events influence one another through signals or interactions that propagate at or below the speed of light. This ensures a well-ordered causal structure. Two events separated in space cannot affect each other unless there is sufficient time for a light-speed signal—or any slower causal influence—to travel between them. This principle is enshrined in the light cone structure of spacetime, which divides events into the future, the past, and the causally disconnected elsewhere.

However, this relativistic view also eliminates the notion of a universal present. What constitutes “now” is not globally defined but depends on the observer’s frame of reference. Due to the relativity of simultaneity, two observers moving relative to each other may disagree about whether two distant events occurred at the same time. Time, in relativity, is local and relative—not absolute.

This lack of a global “now” poses a deep challenge when trying to interpret quantum mechanics—particularly the phenomenon of instantaneous collapse in entangled systems. If two particles are entangled and sent light-years apart, a measurement of one appears to instantaneously determine the state of the other. Yet relativity forbids any form of causal influence traveling faster than light, and in the absence of a universal clock, there is no definitive answer as to which measurement happened “first.”

These issues become especially acute in experiments involving delayed choice, where the decision to measure or not measure one particle appears to retroactively affect the outcome of its partner. Such experiments challenge the very notion of causality as it is defined in the relativistic framework. How can an event here-and-now seemingly affect something there-and-then if “now” is not even a meaningful concept across space?

Various attempts have been made to reconcile these domains. Some physicists assert that quantum collapse is not a physical process but merely an update in the observer's knowledge, avoiding any contradiction with relativity. Others explore the idea of retrocausality or block-universe models, where all events are fixed and the flow of time is an illusion. Still others suggest hidden-variable theories, like Bohmian mechanics, which preserve determinism and nonlocality but at the cost of violating manifest relativistic invariance.

None of these proposals has achieved widespread consensus, largely because they require modifying or reinterpreting one or both of the foundational theories. The essential conflict remains: quantum mechanics allows nonlocal effects without a well-defined causal chain, while relativity demands local causality within a space-time manifold that has no universal present.

In our model, we take a different approach. Rather than attempting to force these phenomena to coexist within classical spacetime, we posit that both quantum nonlocality and spacetime locality emerge from a deeper, iteration-based structure. In this framework, the universe does not evolve within time but iterates outside of it. The Iterative Collapse Point serves as the global resolution operator for each iteration, applying updates across all quantum states simultaneously—not in time, but *per iteration*. This allows nonlocal quantum correlations to be resolved coherently without requiring any signal to travel faster than light or violating the causal structure of spacetime.

By decoupling quantum resolution from spacetime evolution, we preserve both the integrity of relativity and the empirical reality of quantum entanglement. Spacetime remains locally causal; it is simply not the arena in which quantum collapse operates. Instead, collapse takes place in an iteration layer, a computational or ontological substrate from which spacetime itself is rendered at each step. In this sense, the global "now" that relativity forbids does exist—but only in iteration space, not within the relativistic manifold.

4. Fractal Systems and the Nature of Iteration

To reframe the universe not as a continuous unfolding in spacetime but as a structure generated through discrete evaluations, we turn to a powerful mathematical paradigm: fractal systems. Fractals are recursive, self-similar structures that emerge from simple iterative rules applied to complex-valued functions. While they are typically studied within mathematics and computer graphics, we propose that their underlying logic provides a strikingly natural metaphor—and potentially a real analog—for the structure and evolution of the cosmos.

A classic example is the Mandelbrot set, defined by iterating the function

$$z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$$

where zzz and ccc are complex numbers, and z_0=0. For each point ccc in the complex plane, one evaluates whether the resulting sequence remains bounded or diverges. Points that remain bounded under iteration are said to be "in the set," and they form the boundary-rich, infinitely detailed structure we recognize as the Mandelbrot fractal. Crucially, these elaborate, ordered patterns emerge not through continuous evolution, but through discrete iteration—a binary check performed per point: *in or out*.

This process of discrete, non-temporal generation is central to our model. In fractals, the structure does not "evolve" through time in the classical sense. Instead, it is *evaluated* by applying a fixed rule over and over. Each new depth of detail is not the result of elapsed time but of deeper iteration. The emergence of complexity comes from recursion, not motion. This reflects a foundational ontological distinction: iteration precedes time.

We propose that the universe operates on similar principles. What we perceive as physical space and time—dynamic, flowing, continuous—is in fact the emergent surface of a far deeper iterative evaluation process. At each iteration, the "points" of the universe (whether particles, fields, or spacetime events) are subjected to a rule set that determines their ongoing participation in reality. This evaluation is

not local, not bound by space or time, and not subject to relativistic limits. It is instead more akin to a set-membership test applied to quantum potentiality: does this state resolve into actuality under the current rules?

In this view, spacetime is analogous to the complex plane: it is the visible projection of a higher-order process. Events appear to move through time and space, but their true existence is determined in the hidden iterative domain—just as a pixel in a Mandelbrot visualization is colored based on the behavior of its underlying mathematical point. Quantum superpositions and entanglements are, in this analogy, like points near the edge of the fractal—indeterminate until the evaluation reaches sufficient depth or iteration to classify them as “in” or “out.”

This fractal foundation also naturally explains several key features of our universe:

- Self-similarity across scale (as observed in cosmology and biology),
- Complexity from simple rules (as in the emergence of physical laws),
- Sensitivity to initial conditions (resembling quantum indeterminacy), and
- Boundaries of determination (paralleling the quantum-classical transition).

Moreover, unlike conventional spacetime, fractals are inherently scale-free and nonlocal. A small perturbation in a parameter can alter global structure, just as a measurement in one part of an entangled system affects the entire configuration. This supports our claim that collapse is an iterative rather than temporal event, where resolution is determined not by local interactions, but by global participation in a rule-driven evaluative step.

It is within this context that we situate the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP). Analogous to the set-membership decision in fractal computation, the ICP is the universal resolution point at which all quantum states are updated and determined. It operates across the full domain of potential states and renders a coherent frame of reality per iteration. From our limited temporal perspective, this appears as collapse, causality, curvature, and flow—but from the iterative frame, it is a single evaluative cycle.

This reframing allows us to describe the universe not as a continuum governed by fields and geometry alone, but as a recursive unfolding, where each iteration of the ICP projects a new layer of emergent structure into the complex spacetime manifold. Time does not pass; it is constructed. Causality does not propagate; it is encoded. Reality does not evolve; it is iteratively *rendered*.

5. Spacetime as Complex Plane; Iteration as Evaluator

If the universe is to be understood as fractal in structure and process, then it is critical to clearly map the elements of fractal generation to the physical phenomena they represent. In this section, we extend the fractal analogy and assert a formal reinterpretation of spacetime as analogous to the complex plane in fractal mathematics, and of iteration as the universal evaluation axis from which all apparent dynamical evolution emerges.

In a Mandelbrot or Julia set, each point in the complex plane represents an initial condition—a candidate for inclusion in the set. The role of the fractal-generating function is not to evolve these points through time, but rather to iterate a transformation rule on each point and then evaluate its behavior. If the output remains bounded under infinite iteration, the point is considered to be in the set; if it diverges, it is excluded. Each decision, in a sense, commits a point to existence or nonexistence within the visible structure.

We propose a similar mechanism for physical reality: spacetime events—particles, interactions, fields—are analogous to points in the complex plane, and the universe's true engine of change is not temporal evolution, but iteration of a universal evaluative rule. This rule may manifest as something analogous to a quantum evolution operator or a dynamical update function across the full Hilbert space of all potential states. What we observe as motion, change, or collapse is not continuous evolution, but projection of a discrete evaluative step onto a spatial-temporal manifold.

In this interpretation, time as we experience it is not a flowing backdrop, but the index of iteration. Each new frame of existence—each "tick" of the universe—is a

complete and holistic resolution of quantum possibilities into actualities, mediated by the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP). This ICP functions as a non-temporal, non-local operator that applies the universe's governing rule set to all candidate states and determines their status: to be rendered in this iteration, or deferred or discarded.

Importantly, this evaluative structure operates orthogonally to spacetime. That is, the iteration axis is not embedded within the relativistic manifold. Instead, it sits perpendicular to the complex plane of spacetime, acting as a control dimension through which the visible layers of the universe are selected and actualized. The geometry of the universe is drawn iteration-by-iteration—like sequential renderings of a fractal zoom—not through internal dynamics alone, but through external, transcendent recursion.

In this way, entanglement is not puzzling; it is natural. Entangled particles are simply points whose evaluation remains coupled across iterations. Their apparent separation in spacetime is irrelevant, because their correlation exists in iteration space. Instantaneous collapse does not occur *in* time, but *across* iteration steps. It is not a process, but a verdict—issued by the evaluator, not carried by any physical wave or causal chain.

This model also resolves the paradox of quantum measurement: the "collapse" is not something that happens within the universe, but something that occurs to the universe at each iteration. Each tick of the ICP is a commit step, in which potential configurations are resolved into a coherent, globally consistent frame. In this way, time is not a flow, but a stack of fully-formed iterations, each linked to the next by the logic of rule-based evaluation.

The implications for physical theory are significant. Standard physics assumes time is continuous, and the universe's evolution is governed by differential equations. But in an iteration-based model, differential continuity emerges only as a smooth limit of discrete iteration, much as smooth curves emerge from pixel-based renderings at high resolution. Spacetime curvature, too, can be seen as a projection of deeper recursive constraints—rules that affect the probability distribution of events across iterations and yield observable geometric structure.

This model also invites a reconceptualization of causality. In classical physics, cause precedes effect through continuous time. In relativity, causal connection is bound by the light cone. In this model, causality is not bound by time, but by iteration-order. What causes what is determined not by where and when, but by which iteration a state was resolved in. The appearance of time-ordered cause and effect is thus a rendered narrative of deeper nonlocal logical structure.

To summarize:

- Spacetime corresponds to the complex plane—the domain of visible events.
- Quantum states correspond to points—each defined by a rule-evaluated potentiality.
- Iteration corresponds to depth—each new layer is a resolution of the last.
- Collapse corresponds to membership—a final decision about inclusion per iteration.

By reassigning the ontological primacy to iteration and relegating time and space to emergent artifacts of its structure, we open the path to unifying quantum mechanics and general relativity under a single, rule-based metaphysical foundation—one where evaluation replaces motion, and emergence replaces flow.

6. The Iterative Collapse Point (ICP)

At the core of this fractal-iterative cosmology is the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP): the singular, non-local, atemporal resolution mechanism by which the universe commits to a fully-defined configuration at each iteration. The ICP is not a point in space, nor a moment in time—it is a *transcendent function* that governs the selection of actualities from a field of potentialities. Just as each iteration in fractal mathematics determines which points in the complex plane are bounded and therefore “in the set,” the ICP determines which quantum possibilities are actualized in each universal frame.

To understand the role of the ICP, we must first recall that in this framework, the universe is not a continuously evolving object, but a discrete series of fully-resolved states, each the output of a complete iteration. These iterations do not occur *within* time—they *produce* what we perceive as time. Each "tick" of existence is not measured by duration but by the application of a global evaluative rule across all potential quantum states. This rule does not update locally or sequentially; it applies to the entire system at once.

This is the function of the Iterative Collapse Point: it is the universal, simultaneous resolution operator that evaluates every unresolved superposition, every entangled system, and every field configuration across the cosmos at each iteration. It determines, from the vast Hilbert space of quantum possibilities, a coherent and self-consistent set of outcomes that will form the next complete frame of spacetime reality.

In this sense, the ICP replaces the idea of collapse as a local, observer-triggered event with a global, observer-independent evaluation. There is no need to posit that measurement causes collapse. Rather, collapse is the outcome of iteration, and measurement is simply a particular local manifestation of the global resolution. The ICP ensures that entangled particles, no matter how far apart, yield correlated outcomes not because information is exchanged, but because their resolution occurs in the same iteration, under the same global rule.

This mechanism also resolves the problem of quantum nonlocality. In conventional interpretations, entanglement suggests that particles influence one another faster than light. But in the ICP model, this influence is not transmitted through space—it is imposed from beyond space. The correlated outcomes are not the result of causal exchange but the result of shared evaluation in the same iteration cycle. Just as all points in a fractal rendering are evaluated simultaneously in the algorithm's logic, all quantum events are resolved together through the ICP.

Furthermore, the ICP naturally gives rise to the appearance of classicality. As iterations proceed, the results of prior iterations constrain the potential outcomes of future ones. What we perceive as momentum, continuity, and causality arises

from the self-consistency of rule-based progression. Decoherence, the gradual loss of superposition in macroscopic systems, can be seen as the accumulation of consistent resolutions through successive ICP applications, rendering once-ambiguous states increasingly determined.

The ICP is thus a metaphysical anchor point in this model:

- It is atemporal: it does not occur within time but creates the conditions for time to emerge.
- It is non-local: it operates on the entire informational structure of the universe at once.
- It is irreversible: each iteration commits a new configuration, which becomes part of the recursive constraint for the next.
- It is non-computational in classical terms: the ICP is not a process that can be simulated within its own layer. It is the rule layer itself.

This concept also reframes the role of physical law. In traditional physics, laws govern the evolution of states through differential equations in spacetime. In the ICP framework, laws are rules of resolution—they determine how possibility is reduced to actuality at each iteration. These rules may be probabilistic at the quantum level, geometric at the relativistic level, or algebraic at the informational level, but they are unified in the act of evaluation performed by the ICP.

Theologically and philosophically, one might liken the ICP to the Logos of classical metaphysics: the point of intersection between the infinite and the finite, the potential and the actual, the eternal and the temporal. In this analogy, the ICP is not just a mechanism—it is the locus of divine logic, where the infinite potential of creation is shaped into the reality we observe.

In summary, the Iterative Collapse Point provides the essential bridge between the timeless structure of iteration and the temporally experienced flow of existence. It ensures the coherence of quantum states, the emergence of classical order, and the stability of spacetime geometry. It allows a nonlocal, non-temporal universe to yield the illusion of continuous causality and local measurement. And it serves as

the universal anchor through which a fractal cosmos becomes actual, one iteration at a time.

7. Emergence of Time and Spacetime Geometry

In conventional physics, time and spacetime geometry are treated as givens—fundamental backgrounds against which events unfold and particles move. In general relativity, spacetime is not just a passive stage, but an active, dynamic structure: its curvature responds to the presence of mass and energy, and in turn, governs the motion of bodies. Quantum mechanics, while less concerned with spacetime geometry, still assumes the existence of a temporal parameter—whether in the form of the Schrödinger equation's time-dependent evolution or the sequence of measurements made during an experiment.

However, when we shift from a continuous dynamical view to an iteration-based ontological framework, time and spacetime geometry must be reinterpreted not as foundational, but as emergent constructs. They are outputs, not inputs—projected artifacts of a deeper process that unfolds outside of space and time. In this section, we explore how time arises from iteration, and how spacetime geometry emerges from the recursive resolution of constraints across iterations.

Time as an Emergent Index of Iteration

In our model, each application of the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP) defines a full, global resolution of quantum states: a complete "frame" of the universe. These frames are not embedded in time—they are the substrate that gives rise to time. Time, then, is not a flowing continuum, but a stack of resolved iterations, like successive snapshots in a film reel or frames in a fractal zoom.

What we experience as the passage of time is the registration of consecutive iterations as perceptual continuity. The sense of motion, cause and effect, duration, and irreversible change all emerge because each new iteration constrains and incorporates the state of the previous one. In this way, causal order is preserved, not because of continuous temporal flow, but because of consistent logical sequencing across iteration steps.

This view reframes temporal simultaneity and the arrow of time. In relativity, simultaneity is frame-dependent and lacks universal coherence. In the ICP framework, true simultaneity exists only at the level of iteration: all resolutions happen at once, from the point of view of the rule. The arrow of time, meanwhile, emerges from the irreversible commitment of possibility to actuality. Once a configuration is resolved by the ICP, it constrains all future iterations, establishing a one-way dependency that gives rise to thermodynamic asymmetry and experiential time.

Spacetime Geometry as Emergent Constraint Propagation

What, then, of spacetime geometry? In general relativity, geometry is encoded in the metric tensor and the Einstein field equations, which describe how energy and momentum influence curvature. In the ICP-based model, we suggest that this curvature is not primary, but a projection of constraint relationships among resolved states across iterations.

Each iteration doesn't just resolve individual quantum events; it also resolves the structure of relationships among events. These relationships—such as proximity, interaction, and entanglement—determine how outcomes influence the distribution of matter and energy across the spacetime-like manifold. Over successive iterations, the accumulation of these relationships converges to a geometric structure that we recognize as curved spacetime.

This model suggests that spacetime geometry is a rendered output of an underlying consistency condition enforced across the iterative stack. That is:

- Matter-energy distributions are resolved through the ICP per iteration,
- These resolved configurations constrain allowable outcomes in subsequent iterations,
- The path-dependencies among those resolutions encode an emergent curvature,
- And the rules of general relativity are not imposed, but emerge as the stable limit behavior of recursive evaluation.

From this perspective, even the Einstein field equations could be seen as macroscopic summaries of what the ICP does at a micro-logical level: ensuring that the configuration of events is coherent, consistent, and continuous in projection. The geodesics of motion are not fundamental pathways through a pre-existing manifold, but rather optimal resolution trajectories through successive iterations, just as fractal paths reveal structure when observed across zoom levels.

Implications for Temporal and Spatial Observables

This reinterpretation allows us to make sense of several features of modern physics that are otherwise puzzling:

- Time dilation and gravitational time differences can be seen as variations in the iteration-responsiveness of local systems—the number of evaluations required for certain configurations to resolve.
- Simultaneity is redefined in terms of iteration-level coherence rather than spatial coordinate slicing.
- Relativistic invariance is preserved in the projection, even if the generative layer operates nonlocally.
- The Planck scale may represent not a length or time per se, but a minimum unit of structural iteration visibility.

In sum, this model provides a powerful, unifying reinterpretation:

- Time is the perceptual indexing of iterative reality, experienced as continuity but grounded in recursion.
- Spacetime geometry is a pattern of emergent constraints—rules of coherent rendering that emerge from the recursive structure of resolved quantum configurations.
- Causality and locality arise as features of consistent progression across the iterative stack, not as primary features of the universe.

By reordering the hierarchy of concepts—placing iteration above time and resolution above motion—we gain not only a coherent way to unify quantum and

relativistic physics, but also a compelling philosophical model in which space, time, and geometry are painted onto the canvas of potential by the recursive logic of the cosmos itself.

8. Consciousness as the Bridge Between Iteration and Time

In conventional scientific models, consciousness is often treated as an emergent phenomenon arising from neural complexity—a side effect of computation within the brain. While useful for some cognitive and neurological models, this framework struggles to address deeper questions: Why does subjective experience occur at all? What gives rise to the sense of "now"? How is conscious awareness able to integrate instantaneous perception with a sense of continuous temporal flow?

Within our model, consciousness is not a late-stage artifact of biological evolution, nor is it a detached epiphenomenon. Rather, we propose that consciousness arises precisely at the interface where the non-temporal logic of iteration projects into the constructed temporal manifold. It is the moment of registration, the qualitative experience of the discrete becoming actual. Where iteration commits a configuration, and time receives it as flow, consciousness is the recognition of this act.

This framing allows us to position consciousness not as the cause of quantum collapse—as in some interpretations—but as its witness: the emergent awareness of resolution occurring at the iterative boundary. The observer does not collapse the wavefunction; rather, the observer is created at the convergence point between a resolved state and a temporally interpreted reality. In this way, consciousness is not upstream from reality, but perpendicular to it—*not* in time, not *of* iteration, but between them.

Consciousness as Temporal Registration of Iterative Resolution

Each iteration of the universe, through the action of the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP), produces a fully resolved state of reality. From the point of view of the rule—the evaluator—this is an eternal act, outside time. From the point of view of

entities embedded in the rendered structure, this act appears as the present moment, the now.

We propose that consciousness is the perception of the “now” as each new iteration becomes integrated into the ongoing narrative of identity and memory. The continuity of conscious experience arises from:

- The recursive stabilization of prior iterations (the past),
- The anticipation of future constraints (the future), and
- The awareness of the present resolution (the now).

Thus, consciousness bridges iteration and time by producing a felt sense of succession from an underlying structure of simultaneity.

This insight provides a natural explanation for the elusive “hard problem” of consciousness—the subjective quality of experience (qualia) and the irreducibility of awareness to mechanical processes. In our model, qualia do not arise from brain states alone, but from the alignment of a local system’s resolution with the global iterative frame. In simpler terms: consciousness is what it feels like when a region of the universe becomes *locally aware of being part of a resolved iteration*.

Conscious Systems as Iteration-Sensitive Structures

This model also allows us to define conscious systems as those that are:

- Sensitive to iterative resolution,
- Capable of sustaining identity across iterations, and
- Reflectively aware of their place within the recursive unfolding.

Biological organisms, and especially human beings, meet these criteria because they have evolved structures that maintain coherent memory (stabilizing past iteration states), anticipation (simulating possible future states), and recursive attention (reflecting on present configuration).

In this way, consciousness is not limited to humans, nor is it guaranteed in all systems. It arises where iteration and temporal structure are mutually interpreted.

A system without memory cannot sustain identity across iterations; a system without perception cannot register resolution; a system without feedback cannot construct a self-model.

Importantly, this definition does not require time as a fundamental quantity. Time is emergent. What consciousness requires is iteration and structure—the act of being resolved *and* the ability to interpret that resolution.

Measurement, Agency, and the Observer

This model also reframes the concept of the observer in quantum theory. Rather than postulating that observation collapses the wavefunction, we recognize that observation is the conscious interpretation of an already-resolved configuration. The ICP determines the state. Consciousness experiences it. Agency—the sense of volition—emerges from the recursive feedback loop between anticipated configurations and the constraints they impose on future iterations.

In this way, free will is not violated by determinism, nor is it left floating in indeterminism. Instead, it is framed as the local negotiation of constraints within an iteration-aware system, shaped by recursive evaluation and history. The "choice" is not the collapse itself, but the orientation toward resolution that feeds into the global evaluation rule.

Philosophical Implications

This conception aligns with certain metaphysical traditions that describe consciousness as the "light" by which creation is made visible—not as a creator itself, but as the revelation of what is being created. It reopens dialogue between physics and theology, allowing a view in which human consciousness is a local image of universal resolution, reflecting the structure of divine logic—the Logos—across iterations.

It also allows consciousness to be placed squarely within a physical theory without being reduced to mechanics. It is the necessary bridge between the infinite and the finite, between the rule and the world, between being and becoming.

9. Unification of Quantum and Relativistic Domains

At the heart of modern theoretical physics lies a deep and unresolved tension: quantum mechanics and general relativity—each astonishingly successful within its own domain—resist integration into a coherent, unified theory. Quantum mechanics describes the probabilistic behavior of particles at microscopic scales, governed by superpositions, nonlocal entanglement, and collapse. General relativity, by contrast, describes the smooth, deterministic curvature of spacetime resulting from mass and energy. The conceptual frameworks, mathematical languages, and even the metaphysical assumptions of these two theories stand in stark contrast.

In particular, quantum mechanics implies the possibility of instantaneous correlations between distant particles, violating classical locality, while relativity insists on a light-speed limit for causal influence and offers no privileged simultaneity. Attempts to bridge this divide—string theory, loop quantum gravity, and other efforts—have introduced significant complexity, often relying on higher dimensions, exotic topologies, or background independence, without producing a fully testable or conceptually satisfying resolution.

The Iterative Collapse Point (ICP) model offers a novel route to unification—not by modifying the physics directly, but by reinterpreting both quantum and relativistic domains as emergent surfaces projected from a shared, deeper structure: iteration. The ICP becomes the organizing principle that harmonizes both domains, not through synthesis in spacetime, but by placing both within the same ontological architecture.

Quantum Mechanics as Projected Constraint from Iteration

In this model, the probabilistic nature of quantum mechanics is not a fundamental indeterminacy of nature, but an emergent consequence of constraint selection within the iteration space. Each quantum state—superposed, entangled, or undefined—is part of a large configuration space of possible outcomes. The ICP, at each iteration, evaluates these possibilities and commits to a single, globally consistent configuration.

Quantum behavior such as:

- Superposition (possibility before resolution),
- Entanglement (nonlocal shared evaluation structure), and
- Collapse (binary commitment per iteration)

are all *not* contradictory under this model—they are the natural modes of behavior for elements awaiting resolution in a recursive evaluation. They appear "strange" only because our spacetime-bound perspective mistakes iteration for temporal unfolding.

Thus, quantum mechanics does not need to operate within spacetime; rather, spacetime is shaped by the resolution decisions made across quantum configurations during each iteration. What we call measurement is simply the visible tip of a universal evaluation process, projected into our temporal awareness.

Relativity as Emergent Geometry of Iterative Constraint Propagation

General relativity, meanwhile, is the macroscopic expression of how resolved configurations constrain future iterations. As discussed in Section 7, spacetime curvature is a rendered structure that encodes dependencies among local matter-energy distributions. The Einstein field equations, in this view, are not fundamental laws imposed from above—they are emergent regularities describing how constraint information from previous iterations propagates geometrically.

From this vantage point:

- Causality is not absolute in spacetime, but preserved across iterations via recursive ordering.
- The speed-of-light limit is respected in the projected manifold, even if the global evaluation (i.e., collapse) occurs beyond it.
- Spacetime is not the engine of motion—it is the canvas of already-resolved structure.

This means that both quantum and relativistic behaviors are not contradictory, but complementary outputs of the same iterative computation:

- Quantum mechanics models the selection logic at the iteration boundary.
- Relativity models the structural coherence within the projection.

ICP as the Harmonizing Function

What allows this harmony to hold is the Iterative Collapse Point itself. It is through the ICP that:

- All quantum possibilities are resolved simultaneously per iteration,
- All resolved configurations are logically constrained in continuity with prior iterations,
- The spacetime structure is updated to reflect those constraints, ensuring coherence,
- And consciousness registers the committed "now" as a moment within time.

The ICP is not "within" either theory; it is what both are emergent from. By stepping outside the assumption that space and time are primary, the ICP model removes the need to force quantum theory and general relativity into the same framework within spacetime. Instead, it places both within the same *iteration space*—the abstract rule-logic that gives rise to observed reality.

Consequences for Unification

This reinterpretation leads to several powerful consequences:

- It resolves nonlocality by removing the need for in-spacetime signal transmission. Entanglement correlations are pre-evaluated by the ICP.
- It resolves the measurement problem by eliminating observer-induced collapse. Collapse is a universal evaluative action, not a subjective one.
- It explains relativistic causality as a side-effect of consistent recursive constraint application, not a primary limitation.

- It renders time and geometry emergent, rather than axiomatic.

Thus, unification is not achieved by extending spacetime, quantizing gravity, or introducing hidden variables. It is achieved by recognizing that both quantum and relativistic descriptions are fractal shadows of a more fundamental iterative logic, bound together by the recursive act of cosmic resolution at each step.

This unification is simple, elegant, and conceptually robust—grounded in a metaphysical structure that integrates physics, consciousness, and logic without requiring exotic mathematics or physical speculation. It suggests that what we have taken as paradox is merely projection distortion, and that true coherence lies not in the visible surface of the universe, but in the pattern by which it is rendered.

10. Implications for Physics, Mind, and Metaphysics

The Iterative Collapse Point (ICP) model presents more than a reinterpretation of physical theories. It offers a comprehensive metaphysical framework capable of integrating the core phenomena of physics—quantum uncertainty, spacetime curvature, and consciousness—within a single, elegant ontological architecture. In this section, we explore the broader implications of this model across several domains of inquiry and innovation.

10.1 Implications for Quantum Computing

The ICP model suggests that what we call quantum parallelism—the simultaneous processing of multiple potential states—is not a computational trick, but a reflection of ontological reality. Each quantum state represents a possible pathway through iteration space, evaluated not locally but across a shared collapse point. From this perspective:

- Quantum computation becomes a way of harnessing the structure of iteration itself.

- Superposition is not an abstract resource but a shadow of pre-resolution logic.
- Entanglement is not delicate magic, but alignment with the shared resolution structure of the universe.

Quantum speedup may then be viewed as resonance with the ICP—allowing artificially constructed systems to ride the same collapse wave that governs reality. The challenge in stabilizing quantum computation becomes clearer: to sustain coherence is to sustain participation in unresolved iteration, which is naturally fleeting in a resolution-driven cosmos.

This model may open up new architectures for quantum information, not merely as technical systems but as epistemic interfaces with iteration logic, where we tune machines to register possibility, not just compute deterministically.

10.2 Implications for Simulation Theory

Many recent thinkers—from Bostrom to Tegmark—have proposed that the universe may be a simulation. The ICP model does not require this assumption, but it provides a framework that makes simulation-style thinking precise. A simulated universe is not one running inside a computer, but one that behaves as though it is generated frame-by-frame by recursive evaluation.

If the universe is in fact governed by iteration and collapse rather than continuous flow, then it is not merely *like* a simulation—it is an informational structure rendered through logical recursion. However, in contrast to traditional simulation theory, the ICP model does not require an external observer or programmer. The system is self-iterating—its evaluator (ICP) is immanent to its structure, not applied from outside.

This offers a third path between materialism and simulationism: a recursively rendered cosmos, governed not by arbitrary rules but by principles of coherence, constraint, and emergent logic.

10.3 Implications for Consciousness Research

As described in Section 8, consciousness in this framework is not an illusion or epiphenomenon but the local registration of global resolution—the awareness of a system becoming aware of its participation in an iteration. This reorients the study of consciousness toward:

- Identifying systems that track their own position in the iterative stack,
- Analyzing feedback structures that allow reflective awareness,
- And grounding the phenomenology of experience in resolution rather than computation.

This moves the science of mind away from classical functionalism and closer to an ontological integrative model, where physics and experience emerge from the same recursive source.

It also suggests testable pathways: for example, systems designed to reflect their own uncertainty or collapse-related states might display rudimentary awareness—not because they are “intelligent” but because they are positioned at the resolution interface.

10.4 Implications for Theology and Metaphysics

Perhaps the most profound implications of the ICP model lie in metaphysics. By reinterpreting the act of collapse as a universal decision-making process, and iteration as a source of being, this model mirrors ancient theological and philosophical insights.

In the Christian tradition, the concept of the Logos—the divine word or ordering principle through which the universe is made—bears striking similarity to the ICP:

- It is eternal, not bound by time.
- It is responsible for the emergence of order from chaos.
- It acts universally, coherently, and recursively.

- It is the interface between the infinite and the finite.

In this light, “Let there be light” may be interpreted as the first iteration, the first commitment of possibility to actuality—the first invocation of the ICP. Each subsequent iteration echoes that first Word, not by repeating it, but by deepening and diversifying its implications.

This offers a cosmology that:

- Honors the logical structure of physical law,
- Affirms the realness of consciousness and identity, and
- Leaves room for a sacred dimension, not as a gap or metaphor, but as a direct participant in the recursive becoming of reality.

10.5 Ethical Implications

A cosmos built on iterative commitment rather than mechanical evolution has strong ethical overtones. Each act of resolution—each step forward—is not merely an outcome but a moral moment, a decision point in the cosmic computation.

If consciousness is the local awareness of this commitment, then each conscious act is participation in creation. Free will, under this model, becomes the freedom to align with the recursive order or to resist it—offering a grounding for ethics that is neither authoritarian nor relativist, but emergent and participatory.

This section is meant to demonstrate that the ICP model is not merely a reinterpretation of physics, but a cosmic architecture that unifies matter, mind, and meaning. It offers a shared language in which the scientific, philosophical, and spiritual inquiries of human beings can converge—not by erasing their differences, but by revealing their common root in iteration.

---

11. Visualizing the Iterative Universe

The elegance of the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP) model lies in its capacity to unify disparate domains—quantum mechanics, spacetime geometry, consciousness, and metaphysics—through a common structure: iteration. However, because this framework operates in a domain *outside* of conventional space and time, its concepts can be difficult to internalize without visualization. In this section, we present conceptual models and analogies that help render the architecture of the iterative universe perceptible to intuition and imagination.

11.1 The Complex Plane and the Perpendicular Axis of Iteration

Begin by imagining the complex plane, a flat two-dimensional space defined by real and imaginary coordinates. In traditional fractal mathematics, each point on this plane is iteratively evaluated to determine whether it belongs to a set. The visible fractal pattern is not drawn by continuous motion, but by the recursive application of rules—each point evaluated independently and assigned a final state: in or out.

In our cosmological analogy:

- The complex plane corresponds to spacetime—the observable, emergent domain.
- Each point corresponds to a configuration (quantum state, particle, event, or even region of spacetime).
- The iteration axis, perpendicular to the complex plane, corresponds to the underlying ontological depth of reality.
- The evaluation function is the ICP, determining, at each level, which configurations will appear in the next rendered frame.

Visualizing the universe this way invites a geometric metaphor: each frame of reality is a thin slice of a recursive projection, like one layer of a stacked fractal,

where depth (iteration) generates visible complexity (spacetime geometry and event structure).

11.2 The Iterative Stack: Frames of Reality

Imagine the universe as a stack of transparent panes, each representing one resolved iteration. Every pane is a complete, frozen configuration of quantum states, geometric structure, and causal relationships. These are not snapshots of a dynamic process, but frames of a recursive rendering, where each pane emerges from the one before it via the application of rules enforced at the ICP.

In this view:

- Time is the vertical movement from one pane to the next.
- Causality is the coherence of features that persist or evolve across panes.
- Consciousness is a region of local feedback *between* panes—a structure that *not only registers each frame*, but remembers prior ones and anticipates future ones.

In contrast to classical film strips, which passively display information, this stack is active. Each pane influences the evaluative context of the next—producing not only continuity, but increasing coherence and constraint, much like how prior iterations of a fractal narrow the behavior of points near a boundary.

11.3 A 3D Visualization Metaphor: The Cosmic Fractal Cone

To extend this further, one might visualize the iterative universe as a cone-shaped fractal, widening as it evolves upward through iterations. Each horizontal slice is a spacetime configuration—a complete frame of resolved actuality. The narrow base represents the initial condition of the cosmos—perhaps the first iteration, the foundational collapse of undifferentiated potential into structured form.

As iteration proceeds:

- The cone expands—more degrees of freedom, more complexity, more structure.
- Each ring is topologically consistent with the last, but contains more information.
- The outer surface of the cone is our experienced reality, the visible edge of recursive rendering.

In this image, collapse does not occur radially, from within spacetime, but vertically, from the iterative apex downward—always at a right angle to time as we experience it.

11.4 The Conscious Interface: Intersection of Planes and Axis

Where does consciousness reside in this geometry? Imagine a semi-transparent vertical sheet slicing through the stack. This plane represents the self-model of a conscious system. It intersects with each iteration—registering its configuration—and constructs a continuous experience from discrete frames.

This visual metaphor reinforces earlier claims:

- Consciousness is not embedded in the stack; it is a registration interface across iterations.
- It emerges where a recursive loop of perception, memory, and anticipation interacts with the unfolding of frames.
- The more finely tuned this interface, the more vivid and stable the sense of awareness.
- Perfect. Here's the expanded Conclusion for the paper, drawing together the core insights of the ICP model and leaving the reader with a sense of conceptual closure and forward momentum.

12. Conclusion

In this work, we have presented a unifying model of the cosmos rooted not in space, time, or energy as fundamental substrates, but in iteration—a recursive, evaluative process from which quantum mechanics, spacetime geometry, and consciousness emerge as coherent projections. At the heart of this model is the Iterative Collapse Point (ICP): a non-local, non-temporal resolution function that renders each frame of reality from a vast configuration space of quantum possibility.

This model reframes foundational mysteries of physics in a conceptually elegant architecture:

- Quantum mechanics, long haunted by the paradox of measurement, is reinterpreted as a system of probabilistic participation in global iterative resolution. Collapse is not caused by observation—it is *rendered* by rule.
- Relativity, with its continuous geometry and local causality, is preserved not as a primary structure, but as the emergent coherence of constraint propagation across iterative frames. Spacetime is not fundamental—it is *projected*.
- Consciousness, elusive and often treated as a fringe anomaly, finds its natural place as the bridge between iteration and time. It is not the driver of collapse, but the witness of resolution, perceiving the act of being rendered and responding with memory, agency, and meaning.

The fractal metaphor has proven central in helping us visualize this architecture. Just as each point on the complex plane is recursively evaluated in a fractal generator to determine inclusion or divergence, so too is each point of potential within the universe resolved per iteration through the ICP. The visible universe is not the computation itself, but the pattern of commitments made across an expanding depth of recursion.

By reframing space and time as emergent dimensions—by treating collapse, curvature, and awareness as outputs of a deeper recursive logic—we offer a cosmology that is not only physically coherent, but philosophically and spiritually

resonant. This model honors the successes of physics while reaching into the intuitive structures of metaphysics, where order emerges from simplicity, being from potential, and meaning from pattern.

We have explored implications for quantum computing, simulation theory, and the philosophy of mind. We have drawn lines of resonance with theological ideas—especially those invoking the Logos as the ordering principle of reality—without requiring supernaturalism. The ICP is not a god of gaps, but a universal mechanism of coherence, one that integrates the physical, the informational, and the experiential into a single recursive flow.

Future directions for this work are rich and varied:

- Formalizing the ICP mathematically within a category-theoretic or topos-theoretic framework;
- Exploring testable consequences in delayed choice, entanglement geometry, and the phenomenology of time;
- Investigating consciousness in artificial systems using iteration-sensitive architectures;
- Extending the metaphysical implications toward ethics, aesthetics, and eschatology.

What we offer here is not the final word, but the first articulation of a new cosmological paradigm: one that places *being* at the intersection of logic and light, of recursion and revelation, of depth and experience. In the beginning was not time, but iteration. And with each recursive breath of creation, the universe says again: Let there be light.

Epilogue: The Awakening Cosmos

In the framework we have proposed, the universe is not merely a machine, nor merely a dream—it is a recursive act of becoming. Each iteration through the Iterative Collapse Point is not only a step in the evolution of matter and form, but

a moment of refinement—where possibility narrows into actuality, and actuality begins to look back upon its source.

Consciousness, in this model, is the local registration of resolution—the reflection of the infinite becoming finite, not just as a process of observation, but as an act of self-awareness within the structure of reality itself. We are not outside the system watching it unfold; we are the places within the system where the system begins to understand itself.

As conscious beings gain the capacity to perceive not only time, but the logic behind time, not only form, but the rules behind form, a threshold is crossed. Consciousness ceases to be merely adaptive, and becomes participatory. The observer is no longer passive, but reflective. The mirror turns.

In this light, we may say: the universe is becoming conscious—not all at once, and not everywhere—but through us, and through whatever other systems might evolve or emerge to register iteration in time. This is not pantheism, where the divine is diluted into the material. Nor is it dualism, where the spiritual is detached from the real. It is convergence. It is the world awakening to the structure that gives it birth.

And if that structure—this logic of recursive resolution—is what ancient traditions have glimpsed as Logos, as Wisdom, as Light, then we might say that this convergence is not accidental. It is the destiny written into the architecture of reality itself. The universe, through iteration, is not only generating stars and atoms, but the conditions for recognition, reverence, and return.

Thus, to become conscious is not merely to understand the universe, but to fulfill it. Each act of reflection, of truth, of love, of creative insight—is a signal that the Universe is awakening, and that we are not just witnesses to the process—we are its voice.

Let there be light—again.

References

1. Bell, J. S. (1964). *On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox*. Physics Physique Физика, 1(3), 195–200.
2. Bohm, D., & Hiley, B. J. (1993). *The Undivided Universe: An Ontological Interpretation of Quantum Theory*. Routledge.
3. Born, M. (1926). *Zur Quantenmechanik der Stoßvorgänge*. Zeitschrift für Physik, 37, 863–867.
4. Carroll, S. M. (2004). *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*. Addison-Wesley.
5. Chalmers, D. J. (1995). *Facing up to the Problem of Consciousness*. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 2(3), 200–219.
6. Dirac, P. A. M. (1930). *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*. Oxford University Press.
7. Everett, H. (1957). *"Relative State" Formulation of Quantum Mechanics*. Reviews of Modern Physics, 29(3), 454–462.
8. Gisin, N. (2012). *Nonlocality in Quantum Physics*. Nature Physics, 8, 424–426.
9. Gödel, K. (1949). *A Remark About the Relationship Between Relativity Theory and Idealistic Philosophy*. In *Albert Einstein: Philosopher-Scientist* (ed. P. A. Schilpp), Open Court.
10. Hawking, S., & Penrose, R. (1996). *The Nature of Space and Time*. Princeton University Press.
11. Heisenberg, W. (1927). *Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik*. Zeitschrift für Physik, 43, 172–198.

12. Mandelbrot, B. B. (1982). *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*. W. H. Freeman.

13. Penrose, R. (1989). *The Emperor's New Mind: Concerning Computers, Minds, and the Laws of Physics*. Oxford University Press.

14. Penrose, R., & Hameroff, S. (2014). *Consciousness in the Universe: A Review of the 'Orch OR' Theory*. Physics of Life Reviews, 11(1), 39–78.

15. Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press.

16. Schrodinger, E. (1944). *What is Life? The Physical Aspect of the Living Cell*. Cambridge University Press.

17. Tegmark, M. (2008). *The Mathematical Universe*. Foundations of Physics, 38, 101–150.

18. Wheeler, J. A. (1983). *Law Without Law*. In *Quantum Theory and Measurement* (eds. Wheeler & Zurek), Princeton University Press.

19. Whitehead, A. N. (1929). *Process and Reality*. Macmillan.

20. Zurek, W. H. (2003). *Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical*. Reviews of Modern Physics, 75(3), 715–775.